

From: Erika Rein [<mailto:erikarein@snowcrest.net>]
Sent: Saturday, February 25, 2017 12:01 PM
To: Crystal Geyser <crystalgeyser@analyticalcorp.com>
Subject: Commentary: Regarding Crystal Geyser DEIR

Dear Ryan Sawyer,

having read the Crystal Geyser draft EIR, please note these concerns I have about it.

1) The DEIR does not address increased traffic as a problem.

I have several concerns about truck traffic to and from the plant at the South end of town.

I am unsure what the estimate of 100 trucks per day is based on. If that number is correct, and - as Crystal Geyser promised initially - trucks will only run during daylight times, that is a huge increase in traffic coming off of Ski Village drive, turning onto Nixon (that little loop East of Mt. Shasta Blvd.), then into North Mount Shasta Blvd. toward the freeway - or reverse.

I frequently drive to City Park, and the intersection with Nixon Road is tricky as it is, with traffic coming fast around the bend off the freeway as I am trying to turn into - or out of - Nixon Road. Slow moving trucks will greatly make the situation worse at that corner. It is definitely a situation that needs to be addressed and resolved in the DEIR.

Additionally the noise and diesel fumes from down-shifting trucks, or trucks accelerating North toward the freeway will be substantial, and must be addressed.

2) The DEIR does not satisfactorily resolve the issue of waste management/environmental impact of molding plastic bottles at the facility. The effluent must not be allowed to flow into our local aquifers!

3) I see no mention of an assessment regarding the impact of neighboring wells at all. Though I do not live in very close proximity to the proposed plant, I have friends who do, and they are very concerned. Plus it makes common sense that taking huge amounts of water from the C.G. well will have a negative impact on neighboring wells, especially during droughts, which will happen more frequently rather than less, due to Climate Change.

This issue must be addressed and thoroughly assessed.

4) Light pollution from the plant, which may be illuminated 24 hours per day will be substantial, and significant. this, too, is not appropriately addressed in the draft.

5) The impact on our local economy appears to be highly optimistic, and makes me question what this is based on. 60 employees working at fairly low, local pay rates for the company, simply cannot translate into huge increase in home sales, and an exuberant increase of our tax base. Please clarify and expand on this outlook

Thank you for your regard,
Erika Rein
611 Birch Street
Mount Shasta, CA 96067